UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,456	09/08/2003	Michael Hattersley	GP-03-01	9809
30349 JACKSON & C	7590 09/13/201 CO., LLP	EXAMINER		
6114 LA SALL		SHRESTHA, BIJENDRA K		
#507 OAKLAND, CA	A 94611-2802		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3691	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/13/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@jacolaw.com docketing@jacolaw.com mail@jacolaw.com

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 9 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Expansions of the map by a leval series of 100 feb. 11 miles o		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
BUENDRA K. SHRESTHA 3601 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHIGHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. 1 NO period for reply is appointed above, the resistance status of the cover of the communication of the communication of the cover of the communication of the cover of the communication of the cover of the communication of the communication of the cover of	Office Action Cummons	10/657,456	HATTERSLEY E	HATTERSLEY ET AL.				
The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. BY COMMUNICATION. **Detection of the proper of the property of the priority documents have been received in Application No	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - and SUX (5) MONTH to for the mailing date of the second								
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNATION. Electrical of fire may be available under the provision of 3° CRF 1130(b). The event, however, may arreyly the limely find after 6X (5) (ACST-16* from the mailing date of this communication; of the communication of 10 (b) (ACST-16* from the mailing date of this communication; of the communication of 10 (b) (ACST-16* from the mailing date of this communication; of the communication; of the communication of 10 (b) (ACST-16* from the mailing date) of the communication; of 10 (b) (ACST-16* from the mailing date) of the communication; of 10 (b) (ACST-16* from the mailing date) of the communication; of 10 (b) (ACST-16* from the mailing date) of the communication; of 10 (b) (ACST-16* from the mailing date) of the communication; of 10 (b) (ACST-16* from the mailing date) of the communication; of 10 (b) (ACST-16* from the mailing date) of the communication; of 10 (b) (ACST-16* from the mailing date) of the communication; of 10 (b) (ACST-16* from the mailing date) of the communication; of 10 (b) (ACST-16* from the mailing date) of the communication; of 10 (b) (ACST-16* from the mailing date) of the communication; of 10 (b) (ACST-16* from the mailing date) of the communication; of 10 (b) (ACST-16* from the mailing date) of the communication; of 10 (b) (ACST-16* from the mailing date) of the communication; of 10 (b) (ACST-16* from the mailing date) of the communication; of 10 (b) (ACST-16* from the mailing date) of the communication; of 10 (b) (ACST-16* from the mailing date) of the communication; of 10 (b) (ACST-16* from the mailing date) of the communication; of 10 (b) (ACST-16* from the mailing date) of 10 (b) (ACST-1	The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence a	ddress				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 June 2011. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. 4) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 5] ☐ Claim(s) 1.4-12 and 15-24 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6] ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7] ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9] ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9] ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 10] ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11] ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12] ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13] ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some "c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application Promote Prov	Status							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. 4) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 5] ☐ Claim(s) 1.4-12 and 15-24 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6] ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7] ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9] ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9] ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 10] ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11] ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12] ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13] ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some "c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application Promote Prov	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 30 June 2011						
An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. 4) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 5) Claim(s) 1.4-12 and 15-24 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		al					
## the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. ### Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. ### Disposition of Claims ### Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. ### Disposition of Claims ### Since this application is objected under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. ### Disposition of Claims ### Since this application is extended in the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. ### Disposition of Claims ### Since this application is objected to the processor of the priority documents have been received. ### Priority under 35 U.S.C. \$ 119 ### 13	·=	_		ne interview on				
4) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 5) Claim(s) 1.4-12 and 15-24 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) is/are betted to. 9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some cold the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 30 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-S810s) 5 Notice of Informal Patent Application								
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 5								
Disposition of Claims 5	·							
Simple S	olocca in accordance with the practice a	naor Expanto adayro,	1000 0.5. 11, 100 0.4. 210.					
5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 10) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Disposition of Claims							
7) Claim(s) 1, 4-12 and 15-24 is/are rejected. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
S Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers	6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
Application Papers 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Online of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	7) Claim(s) <u>1, 4-12 and 15-24</u> is/are rejected							
Application Papers 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Beferences Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction							
11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Application Papers							
11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 10 Notice of Informal Patent Application								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Attachment(s) (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Solution of Informal Patent Application								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application	[*] See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachment(s)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application								
-/ 🗀 ··············· - ··················	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date					

Application/Control Number: 10/657,456 Page 2

Art Unit: 3691

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1, 4-12 and 15-24 are presented for examination. Applicant filed an amendment on 06/30/2011 providing arguments/remarks. After careful consideration of applicant's amendments and arguments, Examiner maintains grounds of rejection in the instant application as set forth in detail below. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been fully considered but they are not found to be persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 4-12 and 15-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Hutchinson et al., U.S. Pub No. 2005/0102188 (reference A in attached PTO-892) in view of Writer et al., U.S. 2005/0097049 (reference B in attached PTO-892) further in view of Understein, U.S. Pub 2004/0249741 (reference C in attached PTO-892).
- 3. As per claim 1, Hutchinson et al. teach a method of providing underwriting and/or servicing of an financial account, comprising:

receiving account application *over a data network* information including a bank account information (see Fig. 7; 8A-D; Fig. 15, step 270-274; where account application is filled via web browser);

transferring, over the data network, at least one randomly generated deposit amount to the bank account corresponding to the received bank account information (see Fig. 9C and 10C, Prepay; paragraph [0048] and [0085]; where the account uses bank electronic fund transfer to deposit fund, for example Automated Clearing House (ACH) and Fund Transfer Service such as CHEKFREE);

generating using the controller a financial account corresponding to the received account application information (see Fig. 8E-G; Fig. 15, step 265), where the financial account is electronically linked to the bank account (see paragraph [0011] and [0048]); and

receiving, over the data network, a user selected debit transaction amount from a plurality of debit transaction amounts when the financial account is generated (see Fig. 11C).

Hutchinson et al. do not teach receiving, over the data network, a deposit verification amount; comparing, using a controller, the received deposit verification amount with the deposited at least one deposit amount; generating, using the controller, a financial account corresponding to the received account application information, wherein the financial account is electronically linked to the bank account when the received deposit verification amount matches the deposited at least one deposit amount.

Writer et al. teach receiving a deposit verification amount; comparing the received deposit verification amount with the deposited at least one deposit amount; generating a financial account corresponding to the received account application information,

wherein the financial account is electronically linked to the bank account when the received deposit verification amount matches the deposited at least one deposit amount (Writer et al., paragraph [0013-0014]); where verifiable deposits of random values less than \$ 1.00 made into user's checking account so that new account established can be linked (Writer et al., paragraph [0013-0016]).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow receiving a deposit verification amount; comparing the received deposit verification amount with the deposited at least one deposit amount; generating a financial account corresponding to the received account application information, wherein the financial account is electronically linked to the bank account when the received deposit verification amount matches the deposited at least one deposit amount of Hutchinson et al. because Writer et al. teach that including above features would enable to verify identity of checking account holder and its transactions (Writer et al., paragraph [0013-0014]).

Hutchinson et al. do not teach automatically debiting, using the controller, the user selected debit transaction amount from the bank account electronically to the financial account at a predetermined interval; periodically verifying, using the controller, a balance amount of the bank account; and periodically determining, using the controller, whether to confirm that the balance amount of the bank account is equal to or greater than an outstanding balance amount of the financial account by comparing the verified balance amount of the bank account to the outstanding balance amount of the financial account.

Understein teaches automatically debiting the user selected debit transaction amount from the deposit account electronically to the financial account at a predetermined interval; periodically verifying, using the controller, a balance amount of the deposit account (Unserstein, paragraph [0010, 0054]); and periodically determining whether to confirm that the balance amount of the deposit account is equal to or greater than an outstanding balance amount of the financial account by comparing the verified balance amount of the deposit account to the outstanding balance amount of the financial account (Understein, Fig. 4, Steps S7-S9; paragraph [0038, 0041,0054 - 0055]; where user track account any time via link 30; Established account for products and services such as utilities, parking, long distance services, tool booth etc. periodically, in real time, debits user deposit account; the system further periodically checks availability of funds for paying specific accounts bill and if user account have insufficient funds, and the system links and automatically charged to user credit card or deduct from the user's bank account, or generate an invoice).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow automatically debiting, using the controller, the user selected debit transaction amount from the bank account electronically to the financial account at a predetermined interval; periodically verifying, using the controller, a balance amount of the bank account; and periodically determining, using the controller, whether to confirm that the balance amount of the bank account is equal to or greater than an outstanding balance amount of the financial account by comparing the verified balance amount of the bank account to the outstanding balance amount of the financial

account of Hutchinson et al. because Understein teaches that including above features would enable to qualify or validate funds for e-commerce transactions (Understein, paragraph 0004]).

4. As per claim 4-5, Hutchison et al. in view of Writer and Understein teach claim 1 as described above.

Hutchison et al. do not teach the predetermined interval is a 30 day and the user selected periodic debit transaction amount includes **one of** a full balance of the financial account, a minimum payment amount of the financial account, and a minimum payment amount of the financial account plus a predetermined payment amount.

Understein teaches the predetermined interval is a 30 day and the user selected periodic debit transaction amount includes <u>one of</u> a full balance of the financial account, a minimum payment amount of the financial account, and a minimum payment amount of the financial account plus a predetermined payment amount (Understein, paragraph [0010, 0054-0050]; where user deposit account is automatically debited in full amount and credit card automatically charged, if there is no sufficient fund in the user's account).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow the predetermined interval is a 30 day and the user selected periodic debit transaction amount includes <u>one of</u> a full balance of the financial account, a minimum payment amount of the financial account, and a minimum payment amount of the financial account plus a predetermined payment amount of Hutchinson et

al. because Understein teaches that including above features would enable to qualify or validate funds for e-commerce transactions (Understein, paragraph 0004]).

5. As per claim 6, Hutchison et al. in view of Writer and Understein teach claim 1 as described above. Hutchison further teach the method comprising

performing using the controller credit verification based on the received account application information (see Figs. 2 and 7, Credit Processing Server (53); paragraph [0049]; Fig. 16, steps 285-289).

6. As per claim 7, Hutchison et al. in view of Writer and Understein teach claim 6 as described above. Hutchison further teach the method wherein the step of performing credit verification comprises:

transmitting over the data network the account application information to a credit bureau (see Fig. 2, Credit Bureau (58); Figs.16 and 22); and

receiving over the data network a credit history information corresponding to the transmitted account application information (see Fig. 2, Financial Institution (59); Fig. 21).

7. As per claim 8, Hutchison et al. in view of Writer and Understein teach claim 7 as described above. Hutchison further teach the method wherein

the credit history information includes a numeric representation of the account application information (see Fig. 16, steps 286 and 287).

8. As per claim 9, Hutchison et al. in view of Writer and Understein teach claim 1 as described above. Hutchison further teach the method wherein

9. As per claim 10, Hutchison et al. in view of Writer and Understein teach claim 1 as described above. Hutchison further teach the method comprising

Page 8

generating using the controller a financial account default notification when the balance amount of the linked bank account falls below a predetermined minimum level (see paragraph [0130]; where standard late payment processing, such as late notices, finance charges, etc are performed by payment processing subsystem if buyer do not pay as agreed).

10. As per claim 11, Hutchison et al. in view of Writer and Understein teach claim 10 as described above. Hutchison further teach the method comprising

transmitting over the network said financial account default notification (see paragraph [0130]; where default notification include charging interest, late notices or finance charges is transmitted to buyer by payment processing subsystem).

11. As per claim 12, Hutchison et al. teach a system for providing underwriting and/or servicing of an financial account, comprising:

a data network (see Fig. 2);

a user terminal operatively coupled to the data network and configured to transmit and receive data there from the data network (see Fig. 2, Buyer (50));

a server terminal operatively coupled to the data network (see Fig. 2; Seller Server (51)), the server terminal configured to:

receive account application information including a bank account information from the user terminal (Fig. 2; Fig. 7, steps 100-104);

deposit at least one deposit amount to the bank account corresponding to the received bank account information (see Fig. 9C and 10C, Prepay; paragraph [0048] and [0085]; where buyer account must be prepaid which could be deposited by ACH or traditional bank check);

generate a financial account corresponding to the received account application information (see Fig. 8E-G; Fig. 15, step 265), where the financial account is electronically linked to the bank account (see paragraph [0011] and [0048]); and

receiving a user selected debit transaction amount from a plurality of debit transaction amounts when the financial account is generated (see Fig. 11C).

Hutchinson et al. do not teach receiving a deposit verification amount; comparing the received deposit verification amount with the deposited at least one deposit amount; and generating a financial account corresponding to the received account application information only when the compared deposit verification amount matches the at least one deposit amount, where the financial account is electronically linked to the bank account.

Writer et al. teach receiving a deposit verification amount; comparing the received deposit verification amount with the deposited at least one deposit amount; and generating a financial account corresponding to the received account application information only when the compared deposit verification amount matches the at least one deposit amount, where the financial account is electronically linked to the bank

account (Writer et al., paragraph [0013-0014]); where verifiable deposits of random values less than \$ 1.00 made into user's checking account so that new account established can be linked (Writer et al., paragraph [0013-0016]).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow receiving a deposit verification amount; comparing the received deposit verification amount with the deposited at least one deposit amount; and generating a financial account corresponding to the received account application information only when the compared deposit verification amount matches the at least one deposit amount, where the financial account is electronically linked to the bank account of Hutchinson et al. because Writer et al. teach that including above features would enable to verify identity of checking account holder and its transactions (Writer et al., paragraph [0013-0014]).

Hutchinson et al. do not teach debit the user selected debit transaction amount from the bank account electronically to the financial account at a predetermined interval; periodically verify a balance amount of the bank account; and periodically determine whether the balance amount of the bank account is equal to or greater than an outstanding balance amount of the financial account by comparing the verified balance amount of the bank account to the outstanding balance amount of the financial account.

Understein teaches debit the user selected debit transaction amount from the bank account electronically to the financial account at a predetermined interval (Unserstein, paragraph [0010, 0054]); periodically verify a balance amount of the bank account and periodically verify a balance amount of the bank account; and periodically

determine whether the balance amount of the bank account is equal to or greater than an outstanding balance amount of the financial account by comparing the verified balance amount of the bank account to the outstanding balance amount of the financial account (Understein, Fig. 4, Steps S7-S9; paragraph [0038, 0041,0054 - 0055]; where user track account any time via link 30; Established account for products and services such as utilities, parking, long distance services, tool booth etc. periodically, in real time, debits user deposit account; the system further periodically checks availability of funds for paying specific accounts bill and if user account have insufficient funds, and the system links and automatically charged to user credit card or deduct from the user's bank account, or generate an invoice).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow debit the user selected debit transaction amount from the bank account electronically to the financial account at a predetermined interval; periodically verify a balance amount of the bank account; and periodically determine whether the balance amount of the bank account is equal to or greater than an outstanding balance amount of the financial account by comparing the verified balance amount of the bank account to the outstanding balance amount of the financial account of Hutchinson et al. because Understein teaches that including above features would enable to qualify or validate funds for e-commerce transactions (Understein, paragraph 00041).

Application/Control Number: 10/657,456 Page 12

Art Unit: 3691

12. As per claim 15-16, Hutchison et al. in view of Writer and Understein teach claim 12 as described above. Claims 15-16 are rejected under same rational as claim 4-5 described above.

13. As per claim 17, Hutchison et al. in view of Writer and Understein teach claim 12 as described above. Hutchison further teach the system wherein the server terminal is further configured to:

transmitting the account application information to a credit bureau (see Fig. 16, Fig. 22); and

receiving a credit history information corresponding to the transmitted account application information (see Fig. 21).

14. As per claim 18, Hutchison et al. in view of Writer and Understein teach claim 12 as described above. Hutchison further teach the system wherein

the financial account is a credit card account (see Fig. 7; 8E).

15. As per claim 19, Hutchison et al. in view of Writer and Understein teach claim 12 as described above. Hutchison further teach the system wherein the server terminal is configured to:

generate a financial account default notification when the balance amount of the linked bank account falls below a predetermined minimum level (see Fig. 2; paragraph [0130]; where financial institution (59) will generate default notification which include late notices, finance charges); and

transmit the account default notification to the user terminal (see Gig. 2; paragraph [0130]; where financial institution (59) issues late notices or levy finance

charge to user through data network).

16. As per claim 20, Hutchison et al. teach a program storage device readable by a machine, tangibly embodying a program of instructions executable by the machine to perform a method of providing underwriting and servicing of a financial account (see Fig. 2; paragraph [0055]), the method comprising:

receiving account application information including a bank account information (Fig. 2; Fig. 7, steps 100-104);

depositing at least one deposit amount to the bank account corresponding to the received bank account information (see Fig. 9C and 10C, Prepay; paragraph [0048] and [0085]; where buyer account must be prepaid which could be deposited by ACH or traditional bank check);

generate a financial account corresponding to the received account application information (see Fig. 8E-G; Fig. 15, step 265), where the financial account is electronically linked to the bank account (see paragraph [0011] and [0048]); and

receiving a user selected debit transaction amount from a plurality of debit transaction amounts when the financial account is generated (see Fig. 11C);

Hutchinson et al. do not teach receiving a deposit verification amount; comparing the received deposit verification amount with the deposited at least one deposit amount; and generating a financial account corresponding to the received account application information only when the compared deposit verification amount matches the at least

one deposit amount, where the financial account is electronically linked to the bank account.

Writer et al. teach receiving a deposit verification amount; comparing the received deposit verification amount with the deposited at least one deposit amount; and generating a financial account corresponding to the received account application information only when the compared deposit verification amount matches the at least one deposit amount, where the financial account is electronically linked to the bank account (Writer et al., paragraph [0013-0014]); where verifiable deposits of random values less than \$ 1.00 made into user's checking account so that new account established can be linked (Writer et al., paragraph [0013-0016]).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow receiving a deposit verification amount; comparing the received deposit verification amount with the deposited at least one deposit amount; and generating a financial account corresponding to the received account application information only when the compared deposit verification amount matches the at least one deposit amount, where the financial account is electronically linked to the bank account of Hutchinson et al. because Writer et al. teach that including above features would enable to verify identity of checking account holder and its transactions (Writer et al., paragraph [0013-0014]).

Hutchinson et al. do not teach automatically debiting the user selected debit

transaction amount from the bank account electronically to the financial account at a

predetermined interval; periodically verifying a balance amount of the bank account; and

periodically determining whether the balance amount of the bank account is equal to or greater than an outstanding balance amount of the financial account by comparing the verified balance amount of the bank account to the outstanding balance amount of the financial account.

Understein teaches automatically debiting the user selected debit transaction amount from the bank account electronically to the financial account at a predetermined interval (Unserstein, paragraph [0010, 0054]); periodically verifying a balance amount of the bank account; and periodically determining whether the balance amount of the bank account is equal to or greater than an outstanding balance amount of the financial account by comparing the verified balance amount of the bank account to the outstanding balance amount of the financial account (Understein, Fig. 4, Steps S7-S9; paragraph [0038, 0041,0054 - 0055]; where user track account any time via link 30; Established account for products and services such as utilities, parking, long distance services, tool booth etc. periodically, in real time, debits user deposit account; the system further periodically checks availability of funds for paying specific accounts bill and if user account have insufficient funds, and the system links and automatically charged to user credit card or deduct from the user's bank account, or generate an invoice).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow automatically debiting the user selected debit transaction amount from the bank account electronically to the financial account at a predetermined interval; periodically verifying a balance amount of the bank account; and periodically

determining whether the balance amount of the bank account is equal to or greater than an outstanding balance amount of the financial account by comparing the verified balance amount of the bank account to the outstanding balance amount of the financial account of Hutchinson et al. because Understein teaches that including above features would enable to qualify or validate funds for e-commerce transactions (Understein, paragraph 0004]).

21. As per claim 21-24, Hutchison et al. in view of Writer and Understein teach claims 1 and 21 as described above.

Hutchison do not teach automatically adjusting one or more characteristics of the financial account when the verified balance amount of the bank account is less than the outstanding balance amount of the financial account and one or more characteristics of the financial account include an annual percentage rate of the financial account, a cash advance limit of the financial account, and a credit limit of the financial account.

Understein et al. teaches automatically adjusting one or more characteristics of the financial account when the verified balance amount of the bank account is less than the outstanding balance amount of the financial account and one or more characteristics of the financial account include an annual percentage rate of the financial account, a cash advance limit of the financial account, and a credit limit of the financial account (Understein, paragraph [0055]; where user credit card is (financial account) is automatically when user's account has insufficient deposit adjusting characteristics credit limit on the financial account).

Application/Control Number: 10/657,456 Page 17

Art Unit: 3691

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow automatically adjusting one or more characteristics of the financial account when the verified balance amount of the bank account is less than the outstanding balance amount of the financial account and one or more characteristics of the financial account include an annual percentage rate of the financial account, a cash advance limit of the financial account, and a credit limit of the financial account of Hutchinson et al. because Understein teaches that including above features would enable to determine with certainty that buyer has funds available which can be reserved as deposit for the item or cover the cost of the item if bidder submits the winning bid (Understein, paragraph 0003]).

Response to Arguments

17. After careful consideration of applicant's amendments and arguments, Examiner maintains grounds of rejection in the instant application. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been fully considered but they are not found to be persuasive.

Examiner respectively disagrees with the applicant argument that Understein does not teach "periodically determining whether the balance amount of the bank account is equal to or greater than an outstanding balance amount of the financial account by comparing the verified balance amount of the bank account to the outstanding balance amount of the financial account". Understein specifically teaches user can track accounts any time via link 30 where user account activity and deposit available is observed at any time (Understein, Fig. 3, My Accounts; paragraph 0041]).

The established account for products and services such as utilities, parking, long distance services, tool booth etc. periodically, in real time, debits user deposit account. The system periodically checks availability of funds for paying specific accounts bill and if user account have insufficient funds, the system links and automatically charges to user credit card or deducts from the user's bank account, or generates an invoice (Understein, Fig. 4, steps S7-S9; paragraph [0038, 0041,0054 - 0055]).

Conclusion

18. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosures. The following are pertinent to current invention, though not relied upon:

Bent et al. (U.S. Pub 2005/0108149) teach system and method for managing client accounts.

Fleischl et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,038,552) teach method and apparatus to process combined credit and debit transactions.

Gross (U.S. Patent No. 6,721,716) teaches payment certification string and related electronic payment system and method.

Hogan (U.S. Patent No. 5,557,516) teaches system and method for conducting cashless transactions.

Grant et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,694,397) teach banking/Brokerage computer interface system.

Herziger (U.S. Pub No. 2002/0082994) teaches method and apparatus for automated banking machines.

Kavoun (U.S. Pub No. 2004/0148251) teaches method and system for providing funds for on-line gaming.

Knapp (U.S. Pub No. 2004/0193537) teaches system and method for enhancing financial institution revenues through accelerated of debit processing.

Lindsay Brown (U.S. Pub No. 2006/0212393) teaches payment system and method.

McCoy et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,932,268) teach dual mode credit card based payment technique.

Paschini et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7,131,578) teach system and method for electronic prepaid account replenishment.

Resnick et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,185,545) teach electronic payment system utilizing intermediary account.

Roberts, E. (Credit Union Journal, February, 2002) discloses random deposits to verify account ownership.

Tedesco et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,282,523) teach method and apparatus for processing checks to reserve.

Wilkes (U.S. Pub No. 2004/0153399) teaches linking a merchant account with a financial card.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bijendra K. Shrestha whose telephone number is (571) 270-1374. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM-4:30PM (Monday-Friday); 2nd Friday OFF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571)272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/657,456 Page 21

Art Unit: 3691

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/BIJENDRA K. SHRESTHA/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691 09/01/2011